

Superconducting Solenoid: Thermal Issues

Peter Loveridge P.Loveridge@rl.ac.uk STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK

January 2010

Context

Neutrino factory study-2 target concept courtesy: Van Graves, ORNL

Superconducting Solenoid: Technical Challenges

- \bullet Combination of high field (~14T) and large bore (~1.3 m)
	- –Huge inter-coil forces (~10,000 metric tons axial compression)
	- Huge stored energy (600 MJ)
- • Nb3Sn superconductor
	- –**Brittle**
	- Strain sensitivity
- • Harsh radiation environment
	- –Radiation damage to materials
	- Heat load on coils

Of particular concern:

- 1.Time averaged heat load on cold mass
- 2. Instantaneous pulsed beam heating of superconductor

 \bullet Consider steady-state thermal operation of SC coils:

Temperature history in cold mass

Where does the 4 MW Beam Power go?

Regional deposition of 4MW beam power (From FLUKA simulation by John Back, Warwick)

~63 kW heat load on the cold mass at 4K is very large

What does this mean practically?

~63 kW heat load on the cold mass at 4K

Some numbers…

- \bullet 1W boils off 1.4 litres of liquid helium in 1 hour (latent heat) – 63 kW would boil off 86 m 3 of liquid helium in 1 hour
- \bullet 1000 litres of liquid helium costs ~£3000
	- $-$ 86 m³ of liquid helium equivalent to £258,000 per hour
- • Need to recover helium
	- i.e. 63 kW re-condensing power would be required

Comparison with the LHC

• CERN - LHC uses eight 4.5K refrigerators – one for each sector – each with a capacity of 18kW at 4.5K

Large Scale Helium Refrigerator by Linde: 18 kW for CERN - LHC

The cryogenic cooling power at 4.5K at the CERN accelerator complex

•i.e. 63 kW is equivalent to $\sim 1/2$ the total cooling power at the LHC!

Interpretation

Steady-state heat load:

- \bullet 63 kW load on the cold mass is huge
- • Technical feasibility issues
	- Heat transfer rates and thermal time constants
	- –Is it possible to remove heat from the SC cable at that rate?
- \bullet Prohibitive cost
	- Refrigeration plant

Pulsed Beam Heating

FLUKA energy deposition simulation courtesy: John Back, Warwick

 \bullet Peak energy deposition in superconducting coil:

> 200 [MGy/yr] 2e7 [sec] x 50 [Hz] = 0.2 [J/kg per pulse]

Science & Technology Facilities Council **Rutherford Appleton Laboratory**

Temperature jump in Cold Mass Materials

•• Recall: ΔT per pulse depends on deposited power density and material heat capacity

Example: ITER Cable cross-section

Stainless-steel area ~ 45%Copper area ~ 13% Nb3Sn area ~ 9%

Specific heat of coil materials

•• e.g. each pulse gives a ΔT in Copper of the order:

$$
\Delta T = \frac{\text{Energy Density}}{\text{Heat Capacity}} = \frac{0.2 \text{ [J/kg]}}{0.1 \text{ [J/kg.K]}} = 2 \text{ K}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Stience & Technology Facilities Council} = 2 \text{ K}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Rutherford Application Laboratory} = 2 \text{ K}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Side 9}
$$

Peter Loveridge, January 2010

Superconductor Temperature Margin

- • Example:
	- Operating at 4K, with say, 10% margin on the load line
	- – Temperature margin is then of the order:

10 × (18 - 4) = 1.4 K 100

Critical surface diagram for Nb₃Sn

•i.e. operating superconductor margin will typically be of the order 1 K

Interpretation

Pulsed Heating

- •The temperature jump from a single beam pulse of the order \sim 2 K
- \bullet Operating temperature margin of the order \sim 1 K
- •i.e. a single pulse could quench the magnet?!

Summary

The radiation heat load on the main superconducting coil is extremely high!

Identified two areas of concern:

- 1. The steady state heat load on the cold mass
- 2. The instantaneous ΔT from a single beam pulse

Need to revisit the conceptual thermal design

