Front End RF and Gas Cavities

David Neuffer

Fermilab October 11, 2011







Initial drift from target to buncher is 79.6m

- 18.9m (adiabatic ~20T to ~1.5T solenoid)
- 60.7m (1.5T solenoid)
- Buncher rf 33m
 - 320 → 232 MHz
 - $0 \rightarrow 9 \text{ MV/m}$ (2/3 occupancy)
 - B=1.5T
- Rotator rf -42m
 - 232 → 202 MHz
 - 12 MV/m (2/3 occupancy)
 - B=1.5T
- Cooler (50 to 90m)
 - ASOL lattice, P₀ = 232MeV/c,
 - Baseline has ~16MV/m, 2 1.1 cm LiH absorbers /cell







V'_{rf} may be limited in B-fields

- 800 MHz pillbox cavity
- 200 MHz pillbox test (different B)
- NF needs up to ~1.5T, 12 MV/m
 - More for cooling

Potential strategies:

- Use Be Cavities (Palmer)
- Use lower fields (V', B)
 - <10MV/m at 1.5T?</p>
 - Need variant for cooling ?
- Cooling channel variants
 - Use gas-filled rf cavities
 - Insulated rf cavities
 - Bucked coils (Alekou)
 - Magnetic shielding



Need More Experiments !

- at ~200MHz
- with B ~B_{frontend}



H₂ gas-filled rf in front end cooling section



Scenario I

- include only enough gas to prevent breakdown – ~20 atm
 - E/P = ~9.9 V/cm/Torr
- Scenario II
 - include gas density to provide all cooling
 - •~100atm
 - E/P ~2







- ionization produces electrons along the beam path
 - $\sim 1 e^{-} / 35 eV$ of energy loss (?)
 - μ in H₂ 4.1 MeV/gm/cm²
 - At Liquid density (0.0708) 8290 e⁻ /cm
 - At 1 atm ~9.82 e⁻/cm
 - At 20 atm ~196 e⁻/cm
 - At 100atm ~980 e⁻/cm
 - Electrons have low energy collisions with H₂ in electric field, equilibrating to a meant velocity proportional
- baseline 200 MHz cavity is 0.5m long
 - $10^4 e/cavity per \mu at 20 atm$
 - 5×10⁴e/cavity at 100 atm





Electrons have low energy collisions with H₂ in electric field, equilibrating to a mean velocity proportional to x=E/P (Hylen)

•
$$\vec{v}(x) = \mu_H(x)\vec{x} \times 5.9 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}$$

•
$$\mu_H(x) \cong 0.0172 x^{-0.53} (1 - 0.024 x^{0.71})^{-1.75}$$

• x is in V/cm/Torr

- Electrons extract energy from the cavity from eV-E
 - Energy loss per rf cycle:

•
$$\Delta E \cong \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e\mu_H(x\cos\theta)x\cos\theta 5.935 \times 10^5 E_{rf}\cos\theta d\theta$$

• assumes electron velocity tracks Electric field through rf cycle

- $\Delta E = 2.6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J} (x=10) \text{ or } \Delta E = 1.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J} (x=2)$
 - 16MV/m, 200 MHz







- Muon + intensity depends on proton production intensity
 - Assume 4MW 8GeV
 - $N_p \approx 3 \times 10^{15}/s$
- 60 Hz scenario
 - ~5×10¹³/bunch
 - Each bunch produces train of secondary bunches
 - ~20 bunches, 0.2 μ/p
 - ~5×10¹¹ charges/bunch
- ➢ 50 Hz, 5 bunches/cycle
 - ~1.2×10¹³/bunch
 - ~10¹¹ charges/bunch



Heutrino Factor



- Baseline stored energy in 1 rf cavity is 158J
 - 5×10¹¹×10⁴× 2.6×10⁻¹⁶
 J/cavity/bunch/rf cycle
 - ~1.3J/rf cycle
 - but we have ~20 bunches
 - ~26J/rf cycle
 - after 20 rf cycles
 - Iose 200J
- Assumes no recombination/loss of electrons over 100ns
 - (20 cycles)
- 100 atm scenario is only a factor of 2 worse.







Fewer p/bunch

- 50Hz, 5 bunches, 2MW scenario reduces by factor of ~10
 - manageable

Must reduce free electron lifetime in gas

- if < ~10ns problem is manageable</p>
- < ~200ns (KY)</p>
- Is smaller with small amount of dopant







- Gas-filled rf in v-Factory Front end Cooling could have large beam-loading effect
 - Require electron recombination within ~20ns
 - Can obtain this with dopant in H₂

- Gas-Filled rf can be used in Front end
 - is not trouble-free however