Energy deposition for intense muon sources (chicane + the rest of the front end)

Pavel Snopok
Illinois Institute of Technology
and
Fermilab
December 4, 2014

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Current MARS simulations
  - new data files for solid target
- Using other codes (ICOOL and G4beamline)
- Summary

Introduction



- In high-intensity sources muons are produced by firing high energy ***p*** onto a target to produce ***π***.
- ***π*** decay to ***μ*** which are captured and accelerated.
- Significant background from ***p*** and ***ē***, which may result in
  - heat deposition on superconducting materials;
  - activation of the machine preventing manual handling.

Introduction, contd.



- Need a secondary particle handling system for a megawatt class solid C target
  - solenoidal chicane
  - followed by a proton absorber.
- Challenges of optimization and integration of the system with the rest of the muon front end.
- Main study tool – MARS, some analysis and validation by using ICOOL and G4beamline.
- Start with the chicane, use the same technique downstream to study the the buncher and phase-rotator sections.

History: MARS simulations





- ROOT-based geometry
- 12.5° single bend, Z=0 corresponds to 19 m downstream of the target
  - consistent with RDR (IDS-NF).
- W density reduced to 60% to take into account packing fraction for beads.

Reference: no shielding



DPD peaks at 15.8 mW/g, that translates into 42.6 kW/m for Cu coils or 33.3 kW/m for SC coils.

Uniform 35 cm shielding



Empty channel



PD total, mW/g

Non-uniform 30 and 40 cm shielding



Empty channel



PD total, mW/g

Overall DPD per coil/segment





Segmented coil analysis, total DPD, mW/g

Average DPD per coil, mW/g

In both cases red line corresponds to 0.1 mW/g SC limit

Current MARS simulations

- New target parameters:
  - 8 GeV => 6.75 GeV
  - 4 MW => 1 MW
  - 3.125e15 protons/sec => 0.925e15 protons/sec
  - new particle distribution
  - need to re-run MARS
- The hope is that the new parameters help reduce the amount of shielding required

New results



Muon flux, top view



Muon flux, side view

New results 2



Proton flux, top view



Proton flux, side view

New results 3



Deposited power density, mW/g, top view



Deposited power density, mW/g, side view

New results 4



Deposited power density, mW/g segmented coil analysis



Deposited power density, mW/g averaged

Other codes

- Can G4beamline or ICOOL be used for energy loss/deposition calculations?
- Back in 2010 I did a comparison of the two codes for IDR:



Summary

- Simulations of the new 1 MW graphite target are underway, first results presented.
  - power density > 0.1 mW/g only in a handful of cental coils, very low everywhere else;
  - definitely do not need 35 cm of tungsten.
- Action item: implement a more sophisticated geometry (elliptical cross-section following the profile of the beam).
  - this will allow to significantly reduce the amount of W used for shielding.
- MARS is the main tool, although G4beamline and ICOOL can also be used for some analyses.

Thank you!