

# **LBNE Target Hall Instrumentation**

***Bob Zwaska***

**January 27, 2010**

# Target Hall Instrumentation

- Additional instrumentation in and near target hall to support beam operation
  - Commissioning
  - Beam-based Alignment
  - Beam Permit
  - Long-term Monitoring
- Interfaces with other instrumentation systems
  - Primary beam
  - Systems (RAW, air, temps)
  - Neutrino beam monitors
- Varying needs of reliability
  - Every pulse for beam permit
  - Monthly or yearly for alignment/commissioning
- Software is needed to bring everything together

**This is an initial  
brainstorm – please  
provide input**

# Approach


- We have a good base of experience with NuMI
  - We know its strengths and weaknesses
- Have additional constraints in LBNE
  - More powerful beam
  - Possibly higher rate of target change-outs
  - Would like greater reliability
- Want some additional functionality
  - Target decay
  - Better software tools

# Quick list of NuMI Tools/Instrumentation




- **Shape of target and baffle**
  - **Cross-hairs on horns, and horn neck**
  - **Baffle thermocouples**
  - **Budal Monitor**
  - **Horn BLMs**
  - **Hadron Monitor**
  - **Muon Monitors**
  - **BPMs**
  - **Profile Monitors**
  - **Toroids**
  - **MINOS Near Detector**
- Features used
- “Target Hall” Instrumentation
- External Instrumentation

# NuMI Target/Baffle Shape

- Target and baffle stack produced high-contrast features
  - Gap between baffle and target
  - Horizontal fin
- Beam was scanned across features
- Response measured in instrumentation
- LBNE target may not have these features
  - We need to get something similar
- Baffle thermocouples: calibrated so that baffle temperature was a measure of beam scraping, and in which direction




# NuMI Cross-hairs



- On Horn1 upstream, Horn 2 upstream and downstream
  - 12 or 36 mm thick in longitudinal direction
- Also used Horn 1 Neck

# NuMI Budal Monitor


- Electrically isolated target
- Proton beam kicks off electrons and other charge particles from target segments
- Signal is read out
  - Proportional to beam intensity
  - Position dependent signal
- We need this on the new targets





# Horn BLMs


- Cross-hairs intercept primary proton beam
  - Target must be out
  - Beam also scatters on Horn 1 neck
- Two ion chambers measures particle spray
  - One downstream of each horn
  - Signals were not always measureable from background
- This system is needed and needs some improvement







# NuMI Hadron Monitor

- Sits at end of decay pipe
- 7x7 pixels
- 1m x 1m
- Helium ionization chambers
  - 1 mm gap
  - Continuous flow
- High-radiation area
  - 10s of GRad
- We need this, but with improvements



# NuMI Muon Monitors

- Located in alcoves after beam dump
- 9x9 (2m x 2m) ionization chamber arrays
  - 3mm gap version of HadMon
- Plagued by gas purity and electronics problems
- Sees hadron contamination from dump
  - Cause by cracks
  - TILT FROM PARALLEL!
- Usefulness was never fully demonstrated
  - Probably the regime of the LBNE ND group
  - Except possibly a target decay monitor




# NuMI Software


- Raw data was read into ACNET and MINOS data stream
  - Available for later analysis, however:
    - ACNET dataloggers not ideal for correlating different pieces of equipment
    - MINOS datastream only accessible to experts
- Online analysis was performed with JAS
  - Last-minute contribution from BNL
  - There had not been enough work planned on software
  - Many correlations between different pieces of multi-pixel equipment was needed
  - Decent for monitoring, not for analysis
- Software was a kludge and has not improved much since
  - Hinders us from performing frequent/detailed studies

# JAS Display


**BPM horiz. positions vs. location Wed Sep 28 16:48:07 EDT 2005**




**Beam profile on target. Horn current = -178.69 kA**




**BPM vert. positions vs. location Wed Sep 28 16:48:07 EDT 2005**




**Hadron mon. Q = 37.53 nC/E12**




**Muon 1. Q= 677.52 pC/E12**




**Beam intensity. Latest = 28.16 E12, DT = 2.0 s, Total = 0.78 E17**



**Muon 2. Q= 113.87 pC/E12**





**Muon 3. Q= 34.17 pC/E12**






# NuMI Commissioning

- First “Target Hall” beam task was to shoot the beam down the primary beamline and through chase, with no target
  - Demonstrate that we can see spot at Hadron Monitor
  - Pointing of the beam
  - Worked, but beam was entirely in one pixel








# NuMI Beam-Based Alignment



- What would we like to align?
  - Target & Baffle
    - Meson production varies with amount of material traversed
    - Position of production important for other optics
  - Horns
    - Focusing depends on positioning and angle
- Procedure
  - Scan proton beam across known features of beamline components
    - Target & Baffle material
    - Horn neck and cross-hairs
  - Use instrumentation to correlate measured proton beam position with component features
    - Target budal Monitors
    - Loss Monitors in the target hall
    - Hadron and Muon Monitors




# Target Alignment

- Proton beam scanned horizontally across target and protection baffle
  - Also used to locate horns
- Hadron Monitor and the Muon Monitors used to find the edges
  - Measured small ( $\sim 1.2$  mm) offset of target relative to primary beam instrumentation.




# Budal Monitor Performance


- Horizontal Budal measurement consistent with Hadron Monitor
- Vertical measurement corresponds to baffle aperture – not horizontal fin
  - Several possibilities to affect Budal signal



- Horn 1 LM sees clean signal due to cross-hair
- Neck also cleanly resolved





# Horn 1 Horizontal Position




- Downstream cross-hair not resolvable in first scan
  - Upstream nub interferes
- Displace scan resolves the nub

# Horn 2 Horizontal Positions






- Vertical scan looks for nubs
- Hadron Monitor RMS used for finding DS nub
  - LM could not extract signal
  - Not the best measurement



## Horn Vertical Positions



# Alignment Results

Device	Dir.	Offset	Effect	Angle	Effect
Baffle	Horz	-1.2 mm	2.5%	-0.1 mrad	< 0.1%
Baffle	Vert	+1.1	2.2	-0.7	< 0.1
Target	Horz	-1.4	2.5	-0.1	< 0.1
Target	Vert	+0.1	< 0.1	-0.7	0.3
Horn 1	Horz	-1.2	1.1	-0.2	0.3
Horn 1	Vert	+0.8	1.4	+0.3	0.4
Horn 2	Horz	-1.8	1.2	-0.2	< 0.1
Horn 2	Vert	+0.1	< 0.1	-0.4	< 0.1




Device	Dir.	Offset	Effect	Angle	Effect
Baffle	Horz	0.0 mm	< 0.1%	-0.1 mrad	< 0.1%
Baffle	Vert	+0.1	< 0.1	-0.7	< 0.1
Target	Horz	-0.2	0.4	-0.1	< 0.1
Target	Vert	-0.9	< 0.1	-0.7	0.3
Horn 1	Horz	-0.0	< 0.1	-0.2	0.3
Horn 1	Vert	-0.2	< 0.1	+0.3	0.4
Horn 2	Horz	-0.6	0.2	-0.2	< 0.1
Horn 2	Vert	-0.9	0.4	-0.4	< 0.1

- Estimate effects on beam as a result of offsets measured
  - F/N ration is figure of merit
  - Use parameterization based on simulations
  - These are upper bounds as the worst effects are in higher-(v)energy bins
  - Error budget is ~ 2%
- If beam were to be initially directed at (0,0) the budget would be exceeded
- However, beam is pointed using the alignment measurements
  - Target center horizontally
  - Baffle center vertically
- Larger offsets to optical survey were later found to be associated with settling and thermal variation

# High-Intensity: Beam Permit System

- Inhibits beam on a rapid basis
  - > 200 inputs
  - Checks that radiation levels have not been exceeded
    - Prevents beam from being accelerated
- Beamline components – e.g. magnet ramps
  - Can prevent acceleration, but also extraction
- Beam quality in Main Injector
  - Position, abort gap
- This system may have to take more inputs for LBNE
  - E.g.: from Hadron Monitor



# Long-term Running

- Hadron and Muon Monitors can see variations in target and horn
  - However, the detectors drift due to gas and electronics issues
  - We will need some subset of their functionality for LBNE
- Specific need: Target Decay

# NuMI target experience

( ZXF-5Q amorphous graphite )


f/ Jim H.

Gradual decrease in neutrino rate attributed to target radiation damage

Decrease as expected when decay pipe changed from vacuum to helium fill

No change when horn 1 was replaced

No change when horn 2 was replaced






first  $\sim 4.5e20$  of  $6.1e20$  POT on NT-02 shown on this plot

Will check spectrum with new target in Sept.



# Target Decay in Muon Monitors

- Ratios of muon monitors seen to vary with target decay
- A simplified muon monitor behind the dump and in an alcove could provide an effective target decay monitor
- We need to be able to monitor target degradation without waiting for data to be processed from the neutrino detector



# Needs for External Instrumentation

- BPMs / profile monitors
  - Precise positions and widths at low-intensity
  - Able to look within the train
    - NuMI has 6 batches, would be nice to look smaller
  - Optical survey data needed at time of commissioning
- Everything should be cataloged into ACNET and the datastreams, but we should also have a unified way of looking at the data

# Needs for Target/Baffle/Horn Features

- We need some high contrast features in the new target and baffle to align with
  - Baffle edges are good, but we would like upstream and downstream features to get angles
- Will target edges be enough?
  - It is larger now, and potentially entirely obscured by the baffle
  - Need some way to ascertain angle
- We need cross-hairs and/or necks to be resolvable on horns
  - Should rethink whether there is some way to have a less cluttered aperture
  - Will cross-hairs survive high-intensity beam?

# Needs for Target Hall Instrumentation

- Thermocouples: wherever possible, particularly baffle
- Budal monitor: Yes, working at startup
  - Other target monitoring? Zero-degree?
- Loss Monitors: Yes, but need to be positioned to resolve features
  - Also, would be nice to do some continuous monitoring
- Hadron Monitor: Yes
  - Need higher resolution in middle
  - Larger coverage generally?
  - Needs to be made replaceable, and more reliable
- Muon Monitor: Maybe
  - Detailed muon monitor for physics better left to ND group
  - We need a simplified, reliable, target decay monitor

# Needs for Software

- Need to make sure that ACNET and/or experimental datastream can separate individual events
  - Timestamps need to be accurate
    - Better yet, have a spill number associated with each datum
- Then, need to be able to extract and correlate necessary data
  - Reconstructed proton beam position and width at features
  - Compare different sources of measurement at the same time
  - Be able to fit complicated, arbitrary functions
- Also, automated scanning would save time and cut down on operator error
- Another monitoring application is needed
  - Can be tested with NuMI beam



# Need for Simulation

- The deviation of F/N with component displacement
  - Vary positions and angles to get parameterization
  - Important input to get alignment tolerances
  - Probably need experimental limit on F/N error
- Need simulations of alignment/commissioning and response in instrumentation
  - Need to get specifications for instrumentation of:
    - Signal strength (particle fluxes)
    - Radiation Damage / Activation
    - Heating

# Prototyping/Experimenting

- Several devices need some research and should be prototyped and/or tested with beam in advance
  - Cross-hair – BLM system needs to be tested for noise / calibrated
  - Hadron Monitor is a difficult device to design
    - Probably needs beam tests and a lot of work
  - Target decay (Muon Monitors) need some testing
- Some of the above could be tested in the NuMI beam
- Some would be better suited to test beams

# Summary

- NuMI gives us a solid example for target hall instrumentation
  - Used for commissioning, alignment, beam permit, and long-term monitoring
- Generally, we still need more and better
  - Redundant devices
  - Greater reliability – lower barrier to usage
- All of the instrumentation should be, at minimum, repeated
  - Muon monitor may be simplified to a target decay monitor
- Target/baffle/horn must retain features on which to align
- Software, and integration generally, is needed to make sure all the devices fulfill their purposes

# **LBNE Target Hall Instrumentation**

***Bob Zwaska***

**January 27, 2010**