Solid Target Studies

N. Simos

Brookhaven National Laboratory

MuTAC Review - March 15-16 2006

The Fundamental Problem with Solid Targets

24 GeV Protons on Copper Target



heated target spot

Parameters Affecting Shock Level in Solid Target

- Heat capacity (controlling temperature spike)
- Speed of sound in the material
- pulse length
- coeff. of thermal expansion
- Young's modulus

$$\sigma \sim E\,\alpha\,\Delta T / (1 - 2\nu) \cdot RF$$

$RF = T_{sound}/T_{pulse}$ (if $T_{sound} < T_{pulse}$)

$RF = 1.0$ (if $T_{sound} > T_{pulse}$)

$T_{sound} = d/V_s$

V_s = sound velocity in material

NOTE: If pulse is too short NO reduction in peak stress can be realized since heated zone does not have time to relax during deposition

What do we need materials to possess to get us to multi-MW Power Levels?

- low elasticity modulus (limit ➔ Stress = $E\alpha\Delta T/1\text{-}2\nu$)
- low thermal expansion
- high heat capacity
- good diffusivity to move heat away from hot spots
- high strength
- resilience to shock/fracture strength
- resilience to irradiation damage

That's All !

How do these parameters control limits?

Change in hydrostatic pressure ΔP is related to the energy density change ΔE_m through the Gruneisen equation of state

$$\Delta P = \Gamma \rho \Delta E_m$$

Γ is the Gruneisen parameter related to material thermo-elastic properties such as:

Young's Modulus E
Poisson's ratio ν
density ρ
thermal expansion α
constant volume specific heat c_v.

$$\Gamma = [E/(1-2\nu)]\, \alpha/(\rho\, c_v)$$

Can Solid Targets Support a MW-class Machine and How?

Several "smart" materials or new composites may be able to meet some of the desired requirements:

- **new graphite grades**
- **customized carbon-carbon composites**
- **Super-alloys (gum metal, albemet, super-invar, etc.)**

While calculations based on non-irradiated material properties may show that it is possible to achieve 2 or even 4 MW, irradiation effects may completely change the outlook of a material candidate

ONLY way is to test the material to conditions similar to those expected during its life time as target

Are there things we can do? YES !



Gaussian and equivalent uniform beam distribution for same number of particles

Target	25 GeV	16 GeV	8 GeV
	Energy Deposition (Joules/gram)		
Copper	376.6	351.4	234



Relevant Activity Status

- Beam on targets (E951)
- Material irradiation
- New activities
  - irradiation studies/beam on targets
  - Laser-based shock studies
- Simulations and benchmarking
  - LS-DYNA (highly non-linear simulations which reflect on the 4-MW conditions)

CC Shock Response (BNL E951)







Thermal conductivity of neutron-irradiated graphites.





Irradiation Matrix (2004-05 Run)





3D CC "annealing" behavior





2D CC "annealing" behavior





“annealing” behavior of Super Invar





Graphite (IG-43) response to irradiation





GUM Metal

90% cold-worked may be of interest (if it holds these properties after irradiation)










Vascomax
Stress (MPa)
strain (%)
0 dpa
0.25 dpa
0.29 dpa
0.22 dpa


AlBemet 0.04 dpa
AlBeMet 0.032 dpa
AlBeMet (unirradiated)
0 dpa
Yield = 276 MPa
Stress-Strain Relation AlBeMet
(pseudo) Strain (%)

Stress (MPa)


Ti alloy (6Al-4V)
Stress (MPa)
Pseudo-Strain (%)
0 dpa
0.22 dpa
0.28 dpa
0.24 dpa


AlBm CTE versus Proton fluence
CTE at 550C
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion(10^-6/C)
Proton Fluence(10^20 protons/cm^2)

Solid Targets – How far can they go?

1 MW ?	4 MW ?
Answer is **YES** for several materials Irradiation damage is of concern Material irradiation studies are still needed	**Answer dependant on 2 key parameters:** 1 – rep rate 2 - beam size compliant with the physics sought A1: for rep-rate > 50 Hz + spot > 2mm RMS ➔ 4 MW possible (see note below) A2: for rep-rate < 50 Hz + spot < 2mm RMS ➔ Not feasible (ONLY moving targets) **NOTE:** While thermo-mechanical shock may be manageable, removing heat from target at 4 MW might prove to be the challenge. CAN only be validated with experiments

Operating Solid Targets at 1+ MW

It is not ONLY the thermo-mechanical shock due to pulse intensities that prevents targets from operating at high power BUT also the ability to remove heat from target

Even at 1 MW it is tough to keep a high-Z target operating within reasonable temperatures

2 MW is most likely the limit for low-Z stationary target (Carbon composite, graphite) operating at low rep rate and 2mm beam spot









Rotating Solid Targets
1 MW? ….yes
4 MW? …. maybe







Issues

Beam size

Irradiation damage

Operational challenges

MARS & ANSYS predictions for pion yields, energy depositions and induced stress. Proton bunch charge resulting in 3.2 x 10^{13} captured protons.

band material	inconel 718		Ti-alloy		nickel	
proton energy [GeV]	6	24	6	24	6	24
captured π^+ yield/proton	0.102	0.303	0.080	0.249	0.102	0.302
captured π^- yield/proton	0.105	0.273	0.083	0.224	0.105	0.292
$ppp^{3.2}$ [10^{13}]	15.5	5.56	19.6	6.78	15.5	5.39
$E^{3.2}_{pulse}$ [kJ]	149	214	188	260	149	207
$U^{3.2}_{max}$ [J/g]	32.0	31.7	25.6	21.3	32.5	37.4
$\Delta T^{3.2}_{max}$ [°C]	74	73	49	40	71	81
stress, $VM^{3.2}_{max}$ [MPa]	330	360	72	68	330	340
% of fatigue strength	53-69%	58-75%	10-14%	10-13%	N.A.	N.A.

WHAT'S NEXT?

Phase III Target Irradiation

Target Heat Removal Experiments

Series of Post-Irradiation Tests/Analyses

Off beam Shock Tests

Last (but not least) Beam-Target Simulations

PHASE III Target Irradiation

Materials exhibiting interesting properties
(Carbon-Carbon, super Invar, AlBeMet, Tantalum, Copper Alloy, Gum Metal)
are going back in

GOAL: assess the relation between damage and self-healing through annealing

Push for damage up to 1 dpa.

Off-beam Target Shock Studies

Use of High-Power Laser (BNL) – to be completed by Summer '06



Solid Target Concepts – Neutrino Beam











SUMMARY

- **High power targets,** regardless of the physics they will support, are inherently coupled with material R&D (shock and irradiation damage)
- Information to-date is available from low power accelerators and mostly from reactor (neutron irradiation) experience. **Extrapolation is not allowed!**
- **Advancements in material technology** (alloys, smart materials, composites) provide hope BUT must be accompanied by **R&D for irradiation damage**
- **Liquid targets (Hg jets)** may be the answer to neutrino factory initiative BUT the necessary experiments of the integrated system must be performed. Too many unknowns to be left unexplored
- **Solid target shock experiments** with pulse intensities anticipated in the multi-MW proton driver are necessary
- **Simulations of target/beam interaction** (solids and liquid jets) that are benchmarked on the various experiments are a MUST. Predicting the mechanics of shock and of magneto-hydrodynamics (while benchmarking simulations to experiments) will allow us to push the envelope to the conditions of the multi-MW drivers