# On the Feasibility of a Very Large Liquid Argon Detector for Neutrino Oscillation Physics



# Kirk T. McDonald

Princeton U. kirkmcd@princeton.edu NuFACT'02, London, England July 4, 2002

http://puhep1.princeton.edu/˜mcdonald/nufact/

#### The Opportunity for 3-Generation Neutrino Physics

Super-K  $\Rightarrow$  oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos.

Super-K and SNO favor LMA solar neutrino solution, and disfavor sterile neutrinos.

 $\Rightarrow$  Physics beyond the standard model, such as SO(10) SUSY.

Three massive neutrinos  $\Rightarrow$  six independent parameters:

- Two differences of the squares of the neutrino masses:  $1.6 < \Delta m_{23}^2 = \Delta m^2(\text{atmos}) < 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ @ } 90\% \text{ c.l.}$  $2 \times 10^{-5} < \Delta m_{12}^2 = \Delta m^2 (\text{solar}) < 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ @ } 90\% \text{ c.l.}$
- Three mixing angles:  $\theta_{12} \approx 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\theta_{13} < 10^{\circ}$ ,  $\theta_{23} \approx 45^{\circ}$ .
- A phase  $\delta$  related to CP violation (unknown).

Measurement goals of new experiments:

1.  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ .

2. Sign of  $\Delta m^2_{23}$ . (Sign of  $\Delta m^2_{12}$  known if LMA solution correct.) 3.  $\delta_{\rm CP}$ .

With conventional neutrino beams  $(\pi \to \mu \nu_{\mu})$ , all 3 measurements can be pursued via  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$  appearance.

Best resolution if observe near first (or second) 2-3 oscillation:



Lindner et al., hep-ph/0204352

 $\Rightarrow$  Can't optimize choice of L and  $E_{\nu}$  until  $\Delta m^2_{23}$  known to  $\pm 20\%$ . (One year of MINOS in nominal NUMI beam.)

Can't justify "prime time" effort until know that  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$  is large enough that  $\delta_{\rm CP}$  is accessible.

Quality of measurement of  $\delta_{\text{CP}}$  and sign of  $\Delta m^2_{23}$  also affected by value of  $\Delta m_{12}^2$ .



### Use  $\approx 1$  GeV Neutrinos

Production rate is high.

Interactions are simple  $\leftrightarrow$  quasielastic (no pions).



#### Use an Off-Axis Neutrino Beam (BNL E-889)

 $\pi \to \mu \nu$  decay kinematics has a Jacobian peak:  $\theta \approx 2^{\circ}$  / GeV. (Sternheimer, 1955)



Intrinsic background:  $v_e / v_\mu$  (peak) ~ 0.002 (0.005 for sin<sup>2</sup>2 $\theta_{13}$ )

# Can Study CP Violation at  $L/E = (2n+1)500 \text{ km/GeV}$ [Marciano, hep-ph/0108181]

The *n*th maximum of  $\nu_2-\nu_3$  oscillations occurs at  $L/E \approx (2n+1)500 \text{ km/GeV}.$ 

The CP asymmetry grows with distance:

$$
A = \frac{P(\nu_\mu \to \nu_e) - P(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e)}{P(\nu_\mu \to \nu_e) + P(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e)} \approx \frac{2s_{12}c_{12}c_{23}\sin\delta}{s_{23}s_{13}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{\Delta m_{23}^2}\right) \frac{\Delta m_{23}^2 L}{4E_\nu}
$$

⇒  $\delta A$ A  $\approx$ 1 A  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ N ∝  $E_{\nu}$ L  $\frac{L}{l}$ N  $\approx$  independent of L at fixed  $E_{\nu}$ .

 $N_{\text{events}} \propto 1/L^2$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  Hard to make other measurements at large L. Low  $E_{\nu}$  favorable for CP violation measurements.

But since need to disentangle matter effects from CP asymmetries, this suggests use of 2 detectors at oscillation maxima  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  or  $2 \Rightarrow R = L'/L = 3$  or 5.

Small  $s_{13} = \sin \theta_{13} \Rightarrow$  large CP asymmetry, but low rates.

 $\Rightarrow$  May be difficult to untangle sin  $\delta$  and  $s_{13}$ .

#### Strategy Overview

- Phase I: New search for  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$  with sensitivity better than MINOS/NUMI, Super-K/J2K, ICARUS/CNGS.
- Phase II: If  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$  large enough, upgrade (or new) beam and detector to study CP violation and measure sign of  $\Delta m^2_{23}$ .
- Combine neutrino oscillation physics with nucleon decay measurement.
- Phase I: Use a 1° off-axis NUMI beam at  $\approx$  2 GeV with a 20-30 kton liquid argon detector sited under a bluff at Silver Creek, MN, 640 km from FNAL, 1640 km from BNL.  $\Rightarrow$  sin<sup>2</sup> 2 $\theta_{13}$  to 0.003,  $\tau/B(p \to K^+\bar{\nu})$  to 10<sup>34</sup> year.
- Phase II, Option A:
	- 1. Build 100-200 kton liquid argon detector near Adams, WI, 260 km from FNAL, 1410 km from BNL.
	- 2. Upgrade FNAL beam with a 4-MW, 8-GeV proton driver.
	- 3. New  $\nu$  beam at BNL with a 1-4 MW proton driver.

 $\Rightarrow$  sin<sup>2</sup> 2 $\theta_{13}$  to 0.0003,  $\tau/B(p \to K^+\bar{\nu})$  to 10<sup>35</sup> year, search for CP violation and measurement of sign of  $\Delta m^2_{23}$ .

#### Overview, cont'd

- Phase II, Option B:
	- 1. Build 100-200 kton liquid argon detector near Adams, WI, 260 km from FNAL, 1410 km from BNL.
	- 2. Upgrade FNAL beam with a 4-MW, 8-GeV proton driver.
	- 3. Build new detector in Saskatchewan, 1200 km from FNAL.
- Phase II, Option C:
	- 1. Build 100-200 kton liquid argon detector near Lansing, NY, 350 km from BNL.
	- 2. New  $\nu$  beam at BNL with a 4 MW proton driver.
- Phase II, Option C cheaper than Option A, but must study CP violation with Lansing detector during separate runs from measurement of sign of  $\Delta m^2_{23}$  with Silver Creek detector.
- Phase II, Option B may be cheapest of all, but baseline to far detector only  $\approx 1200$  km.



#### Off-Axis Neutrino Beams from BNL and FNAL



## Silver Creek, MN, lat. 47.11<sup>°</sup>, long. −91.58<sup>°</sup>

500' overburden with horizontal tunnel.

## Adam's Bluff, WI, lat. 43.95°, long. −89.59°



300' overburden with horizontal tunnel.



## Off-Axis Neutrino Beams from CERN



Could also use converted LNG tanker in the Gulf of Taranto.







#### Liquid Argon is the Best Detector Choice

- Density  $= 1.4$ ;  $X_0 = 14$  cm; can drift electrons 2-4 m.
- 100\% sampling tracking and calorimetry.
- Construction is simplest of large neutrino detector options.
- Best rejection of neutral current backgrounds, including soft  $\pi^{0}$ 's.
- 10 times better per kton than water Cerenkov for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance (Harris).



## Drift coord. (m) Full 2D View from the Collection Wire Plane  $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$  $\overline{2}$ Wire coord. (m)  $12$ 18 Zoom details  $\mathbf{1}$ El.m. shower  $\overline{c}$ µ stop and decay in e Detail of a long (14 m) u track 3 with &-ray spots El.m. shower

ICARUS – a Working Liquid Argon Detector

- Operates at the Earth's surface with near zero overlap of cosmic ray events.
- Operates with deadtimeless, selftriggering electronics.
- Liquid argon costs  $\approx$  \$0.7M/kton.
- Minimize cost of a large detector by building a single module.

# LANNDD – Liquid Argon Neutrino and Nucleon Decay Detector



**LANNDD Liquid Argon Neutrino and Nucleon Decay Detector** 

F. Sergiampietri-August 2000

#### Is a 100-kton Liquid Argon Detector Feasible?

- Use mature, low-cost technology of liquid methane storage tanks (up to 300 kton based on existing structures). Preliminary budget estimate from industry of < \$20M for a 100-kton tank, IF built on the SURFACE.
- 100 kton of liquid argon  $= 10\%$  of USA annual production. ⇒ Deliver one trailer-load every 2 hours from Chicago,.... Only 5 ppm  $O_2$  grade available in large quantities, ⇒ On-site liquid-phase purification via Oxisorb (MG). Raw material, delivery + purification  $\Rightarrow$  \$0.8M/kton.
- ICARUS electronics from CAEN @ \$100/channel. 3 mm wire spacing  $\Rightarrow$  300k ch  $\Rightarrow$  \$30M. 9 mm wire spacing  $\Rightarrow$  100k ch  $\Rightarrow$  \$10M. High capacity of long wires  $\Rightarrow$  signal may be too weak to use 3 mm spacing.
- With neutrino beam, record every pulse (10<sup>−</sup><sup>3</sup> duty factor). Cosmic rays occupy  $\approx 10^{-3}$  of active volume,
	- $\Rightarrow$   $\approx$  10 MB data per trigger.
	- $\Rightarrow$  Modest (< \$10M) DAQ/computer system.

#### 200-kton Cryogenic Tanks Used for LNG Storage



Double Wall & Double Roof Tank



Chicago Bridge & Iron: can build 100-kton LAr tank for  $\langle$  \$20M.

# Cryogenic LNG Storage Tanks









## – Applied Cryogenic Technology + Cosmodyne.

#### Can a Proton Decay Search Be Done at the Surface?

• The signature of the decay  $p \to K^+\overline{\nu}$  is particularly clean:  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Maybe "no background" to  $10^{35}$  year even at surface.

- Need  $100\%$  duty factor for proton decay search. ⇒≈ 10 GB/sec data rate at surface.
- May need to go underground (100 m?) to suppress the data rate.

⇒ Additional \$100M to site detector underground.

- Cheaper to buy a big DAQ system and operate at the surface
	- if backgrounds are OK there.

## Budget Estimate (Very Rough)

For a 100-kton detector at the surface:



Cost Scaling: Argon  $\propto$  mass; rest  $\propto$  mass<sup>2/3</sup>.



## R&D Topics

- Liquid-phase purification of industrial grade argon via Oxisorb.
- Mechanics and electronics of wires up to 60-m long.
- Cryogenic feedthroughs, possibly including buffer volume at 150K for low-noise FET's.
- Verify operation of a liquid argon TPC at 10 atmospheres (as at bottom of a 100-kton tank).
- For eventual use at a neutrino factor, verify operation of a liquid argon TPC in a magnetic field (proposals submitted to BNL, CERN).

#### Simulation Studies

- What is maximum wire spacing consistent with good background rejection of neutral current events, good  $\pi^0$  identification?
- What is shallowest depth at which proton decay search can be performed to  $10^{35}$  year for  $p \to e^+ \pi^0$  and  $p \to K^+ \bar{\nu}$ ?