- A Neutrino Superbeam Physics Program as a First Stage of a Neutrino Factory K.T. McDonald, Princeton University, July 14, 2001
- This scenario is based on the premise that a neutrino factory will play an eventual prominent role in neutrino physics – *i.e.*, that the LMA solution to the solar neutrino problem is correct.
- Hence, physics reach and compatibility between a superbeam and a neutrino factory is given greater emphasis than incremental improvement on existing experiments.
- The LSND result is discounted.
- A viable level of R&D for a neutrino factory is likely only if this becomes coupled to a neutrino superbeam physics program.

### The Basic Scenario

- Lessons from Neutrino Factory Feasibility Studies I and II:
  - Proton driver upgrade from 1 to 4 MW is very cost effective.

- Acceleration of muon beams is very expensive.

- This suggests (at least) 3 stages towards a neutrino factory:
  - 1. Neutrino superbeam from pion decay with 4 MW proton driver. (Stages 1a, 1b, 1c might be 1, 2, 4 MW.)
  - Add a muon capture channel + storage ring to produce muon decay neutrinos of same central energy as in Stage 1.
    - Same beam energy in Stages 1 and  $2 \Rightarrow$  same detector and baseline.
    - $-\nu_{\mu}$  rate from muon decay  $\sim 1/3$  that from pion decay, but  $\nu_e$  rate much greater.
    - To keep costs low, the energy of the Stage 1 and 2 neutrino beams should be low.
  - 3. Add muon acceleration to higher energy (20 GeV?), a new storage ring, and a new detector at a new, longer baseline.

#### The Neutrino Horn Issue

- 4 MW proton beams are achieved in both the BNL and FNAL (and CERN) scenarios via high rep rates:  $\approx 10^6$ /day.
- Classic neutrino horns based on high currents in conductors that intercept much of the secondary pions will have lifetimes of only a few days in this environment.
- Consider instead a solenoid horn with conductors at larger radii than the pions of interest similar to the neutrino factory capture solenoid.



• Reduce magnetic field adiabatically in z from  $B_{\text{max}}$  to  $B_{\text{min}}$ .

- $\Phi_B = BR^2$  is an adiabatic invariant, where R = radius of helical trajectory.
- Also,  $R \propto P_{\perp}/B$ .
- Hence,  $P_{\perp}^2/B$  is also an adiabatic invariant.
- Thus,  $p_{\perp,\text{final}} = p_{\perp,\text{initial}} \sqrt{B_{\text{min}}/B_{\text{max}}}$ .
- Ex:  $B_{\text{max}} = 20 \text{ T}, B_{\text{min}} = 0.1 \text{ T}$  $\Rightarrow \langle p_{\perp,\text{final}} \rangle = \langle p_{\perp,\text{initial}} \rangle / 14 \approx 300 / 14 = 20 \text{ MeV}/c.$
- Length of solenoid should be less than  $8 \langle \gamma_{\pi} \rangle$  m.
- Compatible with off-axis beams to select a narrow energy spread.
- The solenoid horn beam is NOT sign selected.
- The detector must identify the sign of the lepton.

# **Detector Issues**

- Detector mass should be  $\gtrsim 100$  kton to be competitive.
- Detector for neutrino factory should identify sign of muons as should a superbeam detector if use solenoid horn.
- For greater reach in study of CP violation, detector should identify sign of electron/positron.
- Magnetized liquid argon detector is only choice for  $\mu^{\pm}$ ,  $e^{\pm}$  identification for  $E_{\nu} \lesssim 1$  GeV,  $B \sim 0.5$  T.
- R&D needed to confirm efficiency/rejection of lepton sign identification in a magnetized liquid argon detector.

# **Detector Siting Issues**

- Distance L to accelerator should be  $\approx 400 \text{ km} \times \langle E_{\nu} \rangle$  [GeV] (based on  $\Delta M_{23}^2$ ).
- For  $e^{\pm}$  ID with  $B \sim 0.5$ , need  $L \lesssim 400$  km,  $\langle E_{\nu} \rangle \lesssim 1$  GeV.
- For accelerator beam physics, the detector could be on or near the surface.
- Siting at 2000'-3000' depth would permit proton decay and astrophysical neutrino studies as well.
- The additional physics reach of a moderately deep site justifies this choice.
- While a deep site with horizontal access is preferable (and possible within range of BNL), siting with 2 large vertical shafts is a viable option.

# Summary

- Competitive study of neutrino physics with accelerators requires a superbeam as a first stage, with natural evolution of the accelerator/detector to a neutrino factory.
- CP violation physics, and probable need for a solenoid horn at a 4 MW proton driver, require a detectors with  $\mu^{\pm}$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ identification.
- Costs of the proton driver and first stage of a neutrino factory, as well as requirement of  $e^{\pm}$  ID, lead to  $\langle E_{\nu} \rangle \lesssim 1$  GeV and baseline  $L \lesssim 400$  km.
- The obvious detector technology for this is a  $\approx 100$  kton magnetized liquid argon device.
- Siting at depths below 2000' adds capability to study neutrino astrophysics and proton decay to 10<sup>35</sup> years lifetime.