

# Can an Electric-Current Density Be Replaced by an Equivalent Magnetization Density?

Kirk T. McDonald

*Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

(January 5, 2017; updated January 10, 2017)

## 1 Problem

It is often considered useful to regard a magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$  as the equivalent of a bulk electric-current density  $\mathbf{J}$  plus a surface-current density  $\mathbf{K}$ , where (in SI units),

$$\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{M} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (1)$$

and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is the outward unit vector normal to the surface of the magnetized object.<sup>1</sup> Can we also suppose that any electric current density  $\mathbf{J}$  is equivalent to a magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$ ?<sup>2</sup>

In 1820, Ampère made the fruitful conjecture that all magnetism is due to electric currents,<sup>3</sup> in contrast to the then-prevailing (Gilbertian) view that magnetism is due to magnetic charges/poles which cannot be isolated but exist in pairs of opposite poles, *i.e.*, magnetic dipoles described by magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$ .<sup>4</sup> While we now know that Ampère's view is correct (see the Appendix below), the issue of this note is whether the spirit of the Gilbertian view is valid to the extent that any Ampèrian current density  $\mathbf{J}$  is equivalent to an (Ampèrian) magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$ .

*Is any electric charge density  $\rho$  equivalent to a polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  where  $\rho = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$ ?*

## 2 Solution

In brief, the answer is **NO**, in the sense that while a mathematical equivalence can be exhibited (for static situations), the equivalent magnetization has nonphysical properties, as discussed in sec. II.D.2 of [7] and noted in sec. 13.2.6 of [8]. Here, we elaborate.<sup>5</sup>

### 2.1 Faraday's Argument (July 30, 2022)

A particular argument of Ampère, as interpreted by Faraday (1822) on pp. 85-86 of [10], was that a long, thin solenoid is equivalent to a pair of opposite poles, located at the centers of the two ends of the solenoid. That is, the long, thin solenoid is equivalent to a magnetized needle.

Faraday then argued, p. 86 of [10], that this (simplified) claim of Ampère could not be so, because in an experiment with a solenoid wound on a hollow glass tube, a magnetized

---

<sup>1</sup>An example of the utility of such equivalent current densities is given in sec. 3.1 of [1].

<sup>2</sup>One paper that suggests the answer to be **YES** is [2].

<sup>3</sup>See p. 166 of [3], and also [4].

<sup>4</sup>See Book 3, Chap. 15 of [5], and more explicitly, p. 206 of [6].

<sup>5</sup>That the answer is **NO** has been discussed by the author in a particular context in sec. 2.3 of [9].

needle of the same length as the solenoid would first have its “north” pole attracted to the “south” pole of the solenoid, after which the needle would be pulled inside the hollow tube until the “north” pole of the needle ended up at the supposed position of the “north” pole of the solenoid (and with the two “south” poles also coinciding). This experimental result certainly conflicts with the approximation of the solenoid as a magnetized needle.

It seems that Faraday’s interpretation of this experiment<sup>6</sup> was a precursor to his later view that a solenoid is associated with (curved) magnetic field lines  $\mathbf{B}$  which exert a force  $\mathbf{F} = p\mathbf{B}$  on a magnetic pole of strength  $p$ .

Ampère’s view of the magnetized needle was that it contained “molecular currents” whose net effect is that of a long, thin solenoid. Then, Ampère’s force law does correctly predict the forces between the solenoid and the magnetized needle, including the pull of the needle into the hollow solenoid. That is, Faraday’s experiment did not disprove Ampère’s vision, which perhaps was Faraday’s original intent. But, the experiment did cast doubt on the notion that electric currents are equivalent to a magnetization density.

## 2.2 Maxwell’s Argument (Mar. 3, 2022)

In Art. 637 of [12], Maxwell argued very concisely that: *It is impossible, by any arrangement of magnetized matter, to produce a system corresponding in all respects to an electric circuit, for the (magnetic scalar) potential of the magnetic system is single valued at every point of space, whereas that of the electric system is many-valued.*

## 2.3 Only a Steady Current Density Can Obey $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$

If a current density obeys eq. (1), then,<sup>7</sup>

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \tag{2}$$

*i.e.*, the current density is steady.

Charge-current conservation tells us that,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, \tag{3}$$

where  $\rho$  is the electric charge density. Hence, if eq. (1) is to hold, the charge density must also be steady (time independent).

A charge or current density that is steady in one (inertial) frame of reference is not steady in any frame of reference that is in motion relative to the first frame. Hence, the relation (1) holds at most in a preferred reference frame, and is not generally consistent with the theory of special relativity.

We defer discussion of a relativistic form of an equivalence of charge and current densities to sec. 2.6.

---

<sup>6</sup>See p. 76 of [11].

<sup>7</sup>This contrasts with the fact that if magnetic charges do not exist (as is true so far as we know), then the magnetic field obeys  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  in any inertial frame, such that  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  for some vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  in any inertial frame.

## 2.4 Nonuniqueness of the Relation $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$ for a Given Current Density $\mathbf{J}$

We might be content to analyze problems in which the current density  $\mathbf{J}$  is steady only in a particular frame of reference. However, in that frame it remains that if  $\mathbf{M}$  is an equivalent magnetization density which satisfies eq. (1), then the density  $\mathbf{M}'$  does also, where,

$$\mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{M} + \nabla\chi, \quad (4)$$

for any differentiable scalar function  $\chi$ . That is, the magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  in relation (1) is like the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  in the relation  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ , and so cannot be assigned a definite physical value, however useful it may be in computations involving the current density  $\mathbf{J}$ .<sup>8</sup>

### 2.4.1 Equivalent Magnetization and the Poincaré Gauge

Prescriptions for the equivalent magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  of a specified current density  $\mathbf{J}$  can be deduced in analogy to the use of the so-called Poincaré gauge for the electromagnetic potentials  $V$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ .

We recall that in cases where the fields  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are known, we can compute the potentials in the Poincaré gauge (see sec. 9A of [13] and [14, 15, 16]),<sup>9</sup>

$$V^{(P)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mathbf{r} \cdot \int_0^1 du \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t), \quad \mathbf{A}^{(P)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^1 u du \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (\text{Poincaré}). \quad (5)$$

These forms are remarkable in that they depend on the instantaneous value of the fields only along a line between the origin and the point of observation.<sup>10,11</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup>Similarly, the transformation  $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} + \nabla \times \mathbf{F}$  for any vector field  $\mathbf{F}$  leaves the polarization charge density  $\rho = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$  unchanged.

<sup>9</sup>The Poincaré gauge is also called the multipolar gauge [17].

<sup>10</sup>The potentials in the Poincaré gauge depend on the choice of origin. If the origin is inside the region of electromagnetic fields, then the Poincaré potentials are nonzero throughout all space. If the origin is to one side of the region of electromagnetic fields, then the Poincaré potentials are nonzero only inside that region, and in the region on the “other side” from the origin.

<sup>11</sup>We transcribe Appendices C and D of [14] to verify that  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  indeed follow from the Poincaré potentials (5):

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla V^{(P)} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^{(P)}}{\partial t} &= \int_0^1 du \left\{ \nabla[\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t)] + \mathbf{r} \times \frac{u}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \right\} = \int_0^1 du \left\{ \nabla[\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t)] - \mathbf{r} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t)] \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 du \left\{ (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t) + [\mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla] \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{r}) \right\} = \int_0^1 du \left\{ u \frac{d(ux_i)}{du} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial (ux_i)} + \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 du \frac{d}{du} u \mathbf{E}(u\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^{(P)} &= - \int_0^1 u du \nabla \times [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t)] \\ &= - \int_0^1 u du \left\{ \mathbf{r}[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t)] - \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t)[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r}] + [\mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla] \mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^1 u du \left\{ 2\mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t) + ux_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial (ux_i)} \right\} = \int_0^1 u du \left\{ \frac{1}{u} \frac{d}{du} u^2 \mathbf{B}(u\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

When  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$  we can use the vector version of eq. (5) to give a form for the effective magnetization in the Poincaré gauge,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^1 u du \mathbf{J}(u\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{Poincaré, steady currents}). \quad (8)$$

In contrast, the equivalent magnetization is written in the Appendix to [18], and in eq. (4.8) of [7], as,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(T)}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} \times \int_1^\infty u du \mathbf{J}(u\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{Trammel, steady currents}), \quad (9)$$

which is valid, recalling eq. (7), for magnetic fields that fall off faster than  $1/r$  for large  $r$ , as is the case for steady currents in a bounded volume. We call the form (9) the magnetization in the Trammel gauge.


## 2.5 Examples Where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$

### 2.5.1 Uniform Ring of Current

Consider a ring (torus of major radius  $a$  and minor radius  $b < a$ , centered on the origin with  $z = 0$  as its symmetry plane, that carries uniform current density  $\mathbf{J} = J \hat{\phi}$  in a spherical coordinate system  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ . See the figure on the next page.

The equivalent magnetizations according to eqs. (8) and (9) are straightforwardly computed when the origin is at the center of the torus. Then, a ray of polar angle  $\theta$  with  $|\cos \theta| < \cos \theta_0 = b/a$  intersects the surface of the torus at radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  related by,

$$b^2 = a^2 + r_i^2 - 2ar_i \cos \alpha = a^2 + r_i^2 - 2ar_i \sin \theta, \quad r_i = a \left( \sin \theta \pm \sqrt{\frac{b^2}{a^2} - \cos^2 \theta} \right). \quad (10)$$



The equivalent magnetization of eq. (8) is nonzero along such a ray for all  $r > r_1$ , with,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r_1 < r < r_2) = -\mathbf{r} \times J \hat{\phi} \int_{r_1/r}^1 u du = J \frac{r^2 - r_1^2}{2r} \hat{\theta} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r > r_2) = -\mathbf{r} \times J \hat{\phi} \int_{r_1/r}^{r_2/r} u du = J \frac{r_2^2 - r_1^2}{2r} \hat{\theta} \quad (\text{Poincaré}). \quad (12)$$

Note that the equivalent magnetization (12) is nonzero outside the torus, for  $r_2 < r < \infty$ , which reaffirms that this magnetization is nonphysical.

Similarly, the equivalent magnetization of eq. (9) is also nonzero along such a ray for all  $r > r_1$ , with,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(T)}(r < r_1) = \mathbf{r} \times J \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \int_{r_1/r}^{r_2/r} u du = -J \frac{r_2^2 - r_1^2}{2r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (\text{Trammel}), \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{(T)}(r_1 < r < r_2) = \mathbf{r} \times J \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \int_1^{r_2/r} u du = -J \frac{r_2^2 - r^2}{2r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (\text{Trammel}). \quad (14)$$

Again, the magnetization is nonzero outside the torus, but now for  $r < r_1$  where the magnetization diverges as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , while the magnetization (14) inside the torus has the opposite sign to that of eq. (11). This illustrates that the equivalent magnetization is not gauge invariant.

Of course, the magnetizations (11)-(14) satisfy  $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{M} = J \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  inside the torus, and  $\mathbf{J} = 0$  outside, as is readily verified by direct differentiation, noting that  $J_\phi = (1/r)\partial(rM_\theta)/\partial r$ .

### 2.5.2 Rotating, Uniformly Charged Sphere

For a sphere of radius  $a$  with uniform electric charge density  $\rho$ , centered on the origin and rotating with angular velocity  $\omega$  about the  $z$ -axis, the current density is  $\mathbf{J}(r < a) = \rho\omega r \sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  in a spherical coordinate system  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ .

The equivalent magnetization of eq. (8) is nonzero throughout all space, with,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r < a) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^1 u du \rho\omega (ur) \sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \frac{\rho\omega r^2 \sin\theta}{3} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r > a) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^{a/r} u du \rho\omega (ur) \sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \frac{\rho\omega a^3 \sin\theta}{3r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (16)$$

which vanishes at the origin.

The magnetization of eq. (9) is nonzero only inside the rotating sphere, with,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(T)}(r < a) = \mathbf{r} \times \int_1^{a/r} u du \rho\omega (ur) \sin\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = -\rho\omega \sin\theta \frac{a^3 - r^3}{3r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (\text{Trammel}), \quad (17)$$

which vanishes at the surface of the sphere, and diverges at the origin.

While the Poincaré-gauge equivalent magnetization (15)-(16) is well behaved at the origin, it is nonzero outside the physical sphere. In contrast, the Trammel-gauge magnetization (17) is zero outside the physical sphere, but diverges at the origin. Both equivalent magnetizations are “mathematical fictions” without direct “physical reality”.

## 2.6 Relativistic Equivalents for Charge and Current Densities

We can devise a relativistic generalization of eq. (1) by introducing the four vectors and tensors,

$$J^\alpha = (c\rho, \mathbf{J}), \quad \partial_\beta = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial ct}, \nabla \right), \quad M^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cP_x & cP_y & cP_z \\ -cP_x & 0 & -M_x & M_y \\ -cP_y & M_x & 0 & -M_z \\ -cP_z & -M_y & M_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the density of electric-dipole moments (polarization density) and  $c$  is the speed of light in vacuum. Then, in the Einstein notation that  $a_\alpha b^\alpha = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 a_\mu b^\mu$ , we could write,

$$J^\alpha = \partial_\beta M^{\beta\alpha} = \left( -c\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M} \right), \quad (19)$$

with the implication that the charge and current densities might be represented by equivalent polarization and magnetization densities  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$ , where,

$$\rho = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M}. \quad (20)$$

### 2.6.1 Equivalent Polarization Density $\mathbf{P}$ in the Poincaré and Trammel Gauges

We supplement the expression (8) for the magnetization in the Poincaré gauge by the relation,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(P)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\mathbf{r} \int_0^1 u^2 du \rho(u\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (21)$$

for the polarization. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(P)} &= \int_0^1 u^2 du [\rho(u\mathbf{r})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla)\rho(u\mathbf{r})] = \int_0^1 u^2 du \left[ 3\rho(u\mathbf{r}) + u \frac{d(u x_i)}{du} \frac{\partial \rho(u\mathbf{r})}{\partial x_i} \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 u^2 du \left\{ \frac{1}{u^2} \frac{d}{du} [u^3 \rho(u\mathbf{r})] \right\} = \rho(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

such that the first of eq. (20) is satisfied. Also,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}^{(P)}}{\partial t} = -\int_0^1 u^2 du \mathbf{r} \frac{\partial \rho(u\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = \int_0^1 u^2 du \mathbf{r} \frac{\partial J_i(u\mathbf{r})}{\partial (u x_i)} = \int_0^1 u du \mathbf{r} [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(u\mathbf{r})], \quad (23)$$

which cancels the term  $-\int_0^1 u du \mathbf{r} [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(u\mathbf{r})]$  that arises when computing  $\nabla \times \mathbf{M}^{(P)}$  for a nonsteady current density  $\mathbf{J}$  (recalling eq. (7) for  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}^{(P)}$  in terms of the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$ ).

That is, the expression (8) (and also (9)) for the equivalent magnetization density  $\mathbf{M}$  is also valid when  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \neq 0$ , in which case  $\nabla \times \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{J} - \partial \mathbf{P} / \partial t$ .

Similarly, the polarization density in the Trammel gauge is,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(T)}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} \int_1^\infty u^2 du \rho(u\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{Trammel}), \quad (24)$$

which as before only applies when the fields fall off sufficiently quickly at large distances.

## 2.7 Examples Where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \neq 0$

### 2.7.1 Uniform Sphere of Charge with $v \ll c$

We consider a uniform sphere of charge density  $\rho$ , of radius  $a$  and centered on the origin in its rest frame, in the “lab” frame where the charge has velocity  $\mathbf{v} = v \hat{\mathbf{z}}$  with  $v \ll c$ , such that the volume or charge is essentially spherical. At the time when the charge is centered on the origin in the lab frame, the current and current densities are, with neglect of terms of order  $v^2/c^2$ ,

$$\rho(r < a) = \rho, \quad \mathbf{J}(r < a) = \rho v \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \quad (25)$$

and zero for  $r > a$ .

In the Poincaré gauge, the equivalent polarization density is,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(P)}(r < a) = -\mathbf{r} \int_0^1 u^2 du \rho = -\frac{\rho r}{3} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{(P)}(r > a) = -\mathbf{r} \int_0^{a/r} u^2 du \rho = -\frac{\rho a^3}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (27)$$

for which  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = -(1/r^2)d(r^2 P_r)/dr = \rho$  for  $r < a$  and zero for  $r > a$ . Similarly, the equivalent magnetization is,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r < a) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^1 u du \rho v \hat{\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{\rho r v \sin \theta}{2} \hat{\phi} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{(P)}(r > a) = -\mathbf{r} \times \int_0^{a/r} u du \rho v \hat{\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{\rho a^2 v \sin \theta}{2r} \hat{\phi} \quad (\text{Poincaré}). \quad (29)$$

Both the equivalent polarization and magnetization are nonzero throughout all space.

In the Trammel gauge, the equivalent polarization density is nonzero only for  $r < a$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(T)}(r < a) = \mathbf{r} \int_1^{a/r} u^2 du \rho = \frac{\rho(a^3 - r^3)}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Trammel}), \quad (30)$$

which diverges at the origin. Similarly, the equivalent magnetization is,

$$\mathbf{M}^{(T)}(r < a) = \mathbf{r} \times \int_1^{a/r} u du \rho v \hat{\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{\rho v(a^2 - r^2) \sin \theta}{2r} \hat{\phi} \quad (\text{Trammel}), \quad (31)$$

which also diverges at the origin.

### 2.7.2 Current Loop with Velocity along Its Axis

We consider again the current loop of sec. 2.3.1, now with velocity  $\mathbf{v} = v \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , where  $v \ll c$ , at the moment when the loop is centered on the origin. With neglect of effects of order  $v^2/c^2$ , the shape of the loop is the same as in its rest frame, and the loop can be regarded as

electrically neutral in that frame.<sup>12</sup> That is, in the rest frame the charge density is  $\rho^* = 0$  (at order  $v/c$ ) and the current density is  $\mathbf{J}^* = J\hat{\phi}$ . Then, in the lab frame the charge density is  $\rho = \gamma(\rho^* + \mathbf{J}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}/c^2) = \gamma\rho^* = 0$ , and the current density is  $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}^* + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{J}^* \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}})\hat{\mathbf{v}} - \gamma\rho^*\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{J}^*$ , where  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \approx 1$ . Since the charge and current densities are the same in the lab frame as in the rest frame, the equivalent magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  in the lab frame is the same as that found in sec. 2.3.1 (and the equivalent polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  is zero).

### 2.7.3 Current Loop with Velocity in Its Symmetry Plane

We consider again the current loop of sec. 2.3.1, now with velocity  $\mathbf{v} = v\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , where  $v \ll c$ , at the moment when the loop is centered on the origin. With neglect of effects of order  $v^2/c^2$ , the shape of the loop is the same as in its rest frame, and the loop can be regarded as electrically neutral in that frame. That is, in the rest frame the charge density is  $\rho^* = 0$  and the current density is  $\mathbf{J}^* = J\hat{\phi} = J(\cos\phi\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin\phi\hat{\mathbf{y}})$ . Then, in the lab frame the charge density is,

$$\rho = \gamma \left( \rho^* + \frac{\mathbf{J}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c^2} \right) \approx \frac{Jv \cos\phi}{c^2}, \quad (32)$$

and the current density is,

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}^* + (\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{J}^* \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}})\hat{\mathbf{v}} - \gamma\rho^*\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{J}^* = J\hat{\phi}. \quad (33)$$

Since the current density is the same in the lab frame as in the rest frame, the equivalent magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  in the lab frame is the same as that found in sec. 2.3. However, the nonzero charge density (32) in the lab frame implies that there is a nonzero equivalent polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  as well.

In the Poincaré gauge, the lab-frame equivalent polarization density is,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(P)}(r_1 < r < r_2) = -\mathbf{r} \int_{r_1/r}^1 u^2 du \rho = -\frac{\rho(r^3 - r_1^3)}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{Jv(r^3 - r_1^3) \cos\phi}{3c^2 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), (34)$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{(P)}(r > r_2) = -\mathbf{r} \int_{r_1/r}^{r_2/r} u^2 du \rho = -\frac{\rho(r_2^3 - r_1^3)}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{Jv(r_2^3 - r_1^3) \cos\phi}{3c^2 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Poincaré}), (35)$$

which (like the magnetization) is nonzero outside the current loop.

In the Trammel gauge, the lab-frame equivalent polarization density is,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(T)}(r < r_1) = \mathbf{r} \int_{r_1/r}^{r_2/r} u^2 du \rho = \frac{\rho(r_2^3 - r_1^3)}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{Jv(r_2^3 - r_1^3) \cos\phi}{3c^2 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Trammel}), (36)$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{(T)}(r_1 < r < r_2) = \mathbf{r} \int_1^{r_2/r} u^2 du \rho = \frac{\rho(r_2^3 - r^3)}{3r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{Jv(r_2^3 - r^3) \cos\phi}{3c^2 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{Trammel}), (37)$$

which (like the magnetization) diverges at the origin.

*Thanks to David Griffiths for e-discussions of this problem.*

---

<sup>12</sup>At order  $v_e^2/c^2$ , where  $v_e$  is the drift velocity of the conduction electrons, the current loop would have a small bulk charge density in its rest frame, whose resulting electric field would cancel the  $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$  force on the current [19].



# A Appendix: Is a Magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ Due to Electric Currents or Magnetic Poles? (July 30, 2022)

As reviewed in [20] and in secs. 5.6-7 of [21], Fermi [22] gave a quantum argument in 1930 that details of the hyperfine interaction imply that the magnetic moment of nuclei (including the proton) is Ampèrian rather than Gilbertian. Subsequent experiments confirmed that the magnetic moment of the neutron is Ampèrian [20, 23]. As noted in [20], Fermi's argument can also be applied to positronium ( $e^+e^-$ ) and to muonium ( $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ ), in which cases the "nucleus" is an electron or muon, such that the data indicate the magnetic moments of electrons and muons to be Ampèrian. That is, magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$ , which is an effect of atomic electrons, is Ampèrian rather than Gilbertian.

## References

- [1] K.T. McDonald, *Magnetic Force on a Permeable Wire* (March 17, 2002), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/permeable\\_wire.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/permeable_wire.pdf)
- [2] B. Carrascal, G.A. Estévez and V. Lorenzo, *Equivalent magnetization approach for calculating magnetic fields of localized steady-state current distributions*, Am. J. Phys. **70**, 917 (2002), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson\\_ajp\\_70\\_917\\_02.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_ajp_70_917_02.pdf)
- [3] A.M. Ampère, *Notes de M. Ampère sur les lectures qu'il a faites à l'Aca'emie des Sciences*, J. Phys. **91**, 166 (1820), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/ampere\\_jp\\_91\\_166\\_20.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/ampere_jp_91_166_20.pdf)
- [4] L.P. Williams, *What were Ampère's Earliest Discoveries in Electrodynamics?* Isis **74**, 492 (1983), [kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/williams\\_isis\\_74\\_492\\_83.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/williams_isis_74_492_83.pdf)
- [5] W. Gilbert, *De Magnete* (1600), English translation (1893), [kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/gilbert\\_de\\_magnete.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/gilbert_de_magnete.pdf)
- [6] C.-A. de Coulomb, *Collection de Mémoires relatifs a la Physique* (Gauthier-Villars, 1884), [kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/coulomb\\_memoires.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/coulomb_memoires.pdf)
- [7] L.L. Hirst, *The microscopic magnetization: concept and application*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **69**, 607 (1997), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/hirst\\_rmp\\_69\\_607\\_97.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/hirst_rmp_69_607_97.pdf)
- [8] A. Zangwill, *Modern Electrodynamics* (Cambridge U. Press, 2012), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/zangwill\\_em\\_12.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/zangwill_em_12.pdf)
- [9] K.T. McDonald, *Biot-Savart vs. Einstein-Laub Force Law* (May 21, 2013), <http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/laub.pdf>
- [10] M. Faraday, *On some new Electro-Magnetic Motions, and on the Theory of Magnetism*, Quart. J. Sci. Lit. Arts **12**, 74 (1822), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/faraday\\_qjs\\_12\\_74\\_22.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/faraday_qjs_12_74_22.pdf)
- [11] L.P. Williams, *The Origins of Field Theory* (Cornell U. Press, 1983).

- [12] J.C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Vol. 2 (Clarendon Press, 1873), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell\\_treatise\\_v2\\_73.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/maxwell_treatise_v2_73.pdf)
- [13] J.D. Jackson, *From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations*, Am. J. Phys. **70**, 917 (2002), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson\\_ajp\\_70\\_917\\_02.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_ajp_70_917_02.pdf)
- [14] D.H. Kobe, *Gauge transformations and the electric dipole approximation*, Am. J. Phys. **50**, 128 (1982), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/kobe\\_ajp\\_50\\_128\\_82.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/kobe_ajp_50_128_82.pdf)
- [15] W. Brittin *et al.*, *Poincaré gauge in electrodynamics*, Am. J. Phys. **50**, 693 (1982), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/brittin\\_ajp\\_50\\_693\\_82.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/brittin_ajp_50_693_82.pdf)
- [16] B.-S.K. Skagerstam *et al.*, *A note on the Poincaré gauge*, Am. J. Phys. **51**, 1148 (1983), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/skagerstam\\_ajp\\_51\\_1148\\_83.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/skagerstam_ajp_51_1148_83.pdf)
- [17] R.G. Woolley, *The Electrodynamics of Atoms and Molecules*, Adv. Chem. Phys. **33**, 153 (1975), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/woolley\\_acp\\_33\\_153\\_75.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/woolley_acp_33_153_75.pdf)
- [18] G.T. Trammel, *Magnetic Scattering of Neutrons from Rare Earth Ions*, Phys. Rev. **92**, 1387 (1953), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/trammel\\_pr\\_92\\_1387\\_53.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/trammel_pr_92_1387_53.pdf)
- [19] K.T. McDonald, *Charge Density in a Current-Carrying Wire* (Dec. 23, 2010), <http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/wire.pdf>
- [20] J.D. Jackson, *The Nature of Intrinsic Magnetic Dipole Moments*, CERN-77-17 (1977), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EP/jackson\\_CERN-77-17.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EP/jackson_CERN-77-17.pdf)
- [21] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. (Wiley, 1999), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson\\_ce3\\_99.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_ce3_99.pdf)
- [22] E. Fermi, *Über die magnetischen Momente der Atomkerne*, Z. Phys. **60**, 320 (1930), [http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/QED/fermi\\_zp\\_60\\_320\\_30.pdf](http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/QED/fermi_zp_60_320_30.pdf)
- [23] K.T. McDonald, *Forces on Magnetic Dipoles* (October 26, 2014), <http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/neutron.pdf>