

Static-Voltage Gauge

Kirk T. McDonald

Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

(March 25, 2008; updated April 1, 2015)

1 Problem

Show that it is also possible to (re)define the scalar potential V of electrodynamics to have no time dependence, such that the time-varying part of the electric field is entirely due to the vector potential \mathbf{A} .

2 Solution

In electrostatics the electric field \mathbf{E} can be related to a (static) scalar potential V according to,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V_0, \quad (1)$$

and inversely,

$$V_a - V_b = -\int_b^a \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} \quad (2)$$

expresses the fact that a unique voltage difference $V_a - V_b$ can be defined for any pair of points a and b independent of the path of integration between them. The static electric field is said to be **conservative**, and eqs. (1)-(2) are equivalent to the vector-calculus relation,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0. \quad (3)$$

In electrodynamics Faraday discovered (as later interpreted by Maxwell) that eq. (3) must be generalized to,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (4)$$

in SI units, which implies that time-dependent magnetic fields \mathbf{B} lead to additional electric fields beyond those associated with the scalar potential V . The nonexistence (so far as we know) of isolated magnetic charges (monopoles) implies that,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (5)$$

and hence that the magnetic field can be related to a vector potential \mathbf{A} according to,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (6)$$

Using eq. (6) in (4), we can write,

$$\nabla \times \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

which implies that $\mathbf{E} + \partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$ can be related to a scalar potential V as $-\nabla V$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial t}. \quad (8)$$

We restrict our discussion to media for which the dielectric permittivity is ϵ_0 and the magnetic permeability is μ_0 . Then, using eq. (8) in the Maxwell equation,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad (9)$$

leads to,

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad (10)$$

and using eqs. (6) and (8) in the Maxwell equation,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \quad (11)$$

leads to,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right). \quad (12)$$

Suppose that the charge and current densities ρ and \mathbf{J} consist of time-independent terms plus terms with time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$. That is,

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_\omega e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}_\omega e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (13)$$

Then, eq. (10) indicates that we can choose that the scalar potential $V = V_0 + V_\omega e^{-i\omega t}$ obeys the static relation,

$$\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho_0}{\epsilon_0}, \quad V_\omega = 0, \quad (14)$$

provided the vector potential $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{A}_\omega e^{-i\omega t}$ obeys the gauge condition,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -i\omega \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_\omega e^{-i\omega t} = -\frac{\rho_\omega e^{-i\omega t}}{\epsilon_0}, \quad (15)$$

i.e.,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_\omega = -\frac{i\rho_\omega}{\epsilon_0\omega}. \quad (16)$$

We also choose that the time-independent part \mathbf{A}_0 of the vector potential satisfies the usual condition of magnetostatics,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_0 = 0, \quad (17)$$

in which case eq. (12) shows that the vector potentials obeys the relations,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A}_0 = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}_\omega + k^2 \mathbf{A}_\omega = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}_\omega - \frac{i\nabla \rho_\omega}{\epsilon_0\omega}. \quad (18)$$

The formal solutions to equations (14) and (18) are,

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho_0(\mathbf{r}')}{R} d\text{Vol}', \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}_0(\mathbf{r}')}{R} d\text{Vol}', \quad (20)$$

and,

$$\mathbf{A}_\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}_\omega(\mathbf{r}')e^{ikR}}{R} d\text{Vol}' + \frac{i}{4\pi\epsilon_0\omega} \int \frac{\nabla\rho_\omega(\mathbf{r}')e^{ikR}}{R} d\text{Vol}', \quad (21)$$

where $R = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$.

While the forms (19)-(21) are not used in practice, they show how it is possible to define the scalar potential V to be purely static, such that the time-dependent voltage V_ω is always zero.

The conditions (16)-(17) on $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}$ are the so-called gauge conditions of the **static-voltage gauge**. An interesting review of other gauge conditions is given in [1] (see also [2]). The static-voltage gauge is called the Coulomb-static gauge in [3].

In electrostatics, one can invert the present problem and set the scalar potential to zero and derive the electric field from the vector potential $\mathbf{A} = t\nabla V$, where V would be the scalar potential when $\mathbf{A} = 0$ [4]. Indeed, even in electrodynamics one can define the scalar potential to be zero, as first noted by Gibbs [5, 6]; the Gibbs gauge is also called the Hamiltonian or temporal gauge [7].

References

- [1] J.D. Jackson and L.B. Okun, *Historical roots of gauge invariance*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 663 (2001), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_rmp_73_663_01.pdf
- [2] K.-H. Yang and K.T. McDonald, *Formal Expressions for the Electromagnetic Potentials in Any Gauge* (Feb. 25, 2015), <http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/gauge.pdf>
- [3] J.A. Heras, *The Coulomb static gauge* Am. J. Phys. **75**, 459 (2007), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/heras_ajp_75_459_07.pdf
- [4] D.H. Kobe, *Can electrostatics be described solely by a vector potential?* Am. J. Phys. **49**, 1075 (1981), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/kobe_ajp_49_1075_81.pdf
- [5] J.W. Gibbs, *Velocity of Propagation of Electrostatic Forces*, Nature **53**, 509 (1896), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/gibbs_nature_53_509_96.pdf
- [6] K.T. McDonald, *Potentials of a Hertzian Dipole in the Gibbs Gauge* (Aug. 23, 2012), <http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/gibbs.pdf>
- [7] J.D. Jackson, *From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations*, Am. J. Phys. **70**, 917 (2002), http://kirkmcd.princeton.edu/examples/EM/jackson_ajp_70_917_02.pdf